



UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
FACULTY OF LAW

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY



3 1761 10083705 3

FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume III

Brenda Cossman & Carol Rogerson
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

2017-2018

* * *

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*

KE
538.5
.C672
2017
v.3
c.1

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

JAN 11 2018

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume III

Brenda Cossman & Carol Rogerson
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

2017-2018

* * *

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

<https://archive.org/details/familylawcases03coss>

FAMILY LAW
Table of Contents
Volume III

Page No.

VII. CHILD SUPPORT

A. Support Obligations: Child Support and Spousal Support

Notes:

1. Relationship between Child and Spousal Support.....	522
2. Jurisdiction	522
3. Components of a Support Analysis	523
4. Tax Treatment of Child and Spousal Support	523

B. Principles of Child Support

John Eekelaar and Mavis Maclean, “The Evolution of Private Law Maintenance Obligations: The Common Law”, ch. 6 in MT Meulders-Klein and J Eekelaar, eds, <i>Family State and Individual Economic Security</i> ” (1988), pp. 147-148	524
John Eekelaar, “Maintenance and Children” from <i>Family Law and Social Policy</i> (1984), p 111	525
<i>Paras v Paras</i> (1970), [1971] 1 OR 130 (Ont CA)	526
Carol Rogerson, “Judicial Interpretation of the Spousal and Child Support Provisions of the <i>Divorce Act</i> , 1985 (Part II)” (1990) 7 <i>CFLQ</i> 271 at 273-274, 276-277, 279, 283	527
<i>Lucas v Lucas</i> (1990), 25 RFL (3d) 50 (Ont Dist Ct).....	528

C. The Child Support Guidelines (CSG)

(a) Introduction and Overview

Carol Rogerson, “Child support, spousal support and the turn to guidelines” ch. 2.7 in J Eekelaar and R George, eds, <i>Routledge Handbook of Family Law and Policy</i> (2014), pp. 154-158	532
Federal/Provincial/Territorial Family Law Committee, <i>Summary Report and Recommendations on Child Support</i> (1995), pp 3-5	536
Government of Canada, <i>The New Child Support Package</i> (March 6, 1996)	538
Note: Federal and Provincial Guidelines.....	540

(b) Determining Income

<i>Lavergne v Lavergne</i> , 2007 ABCA 169	542
Rollie Thompson, “Slackers, Shirkers and Career Changers: Imputing Income for the Under/Unemployed” (2007) 26 <i>CFLQ</i> 135 at 135-149, 170-171	546
<i>Odendahl v Brule</i> (1999), 45 RFL (4 th) 37 (Ont Gen Div)	551
<i>Montgomery v Montgomery</i> (2000), 3 RFL (5 th) 126 (NS CA).....	554
Note: American Law Institute (ALI) Recommendations.....	557
<i>Drygala v Pauli</i> (2002), 29 RFL (5 th) 293 (Ont CA).....	558
<i>Tillmans v Tillmans</i> , 2014 ONSC 6773	562
Note: <i>Rogers v Rogers</i> , 2013 ONSC 1997	566
Note: <i>Koch v Koch</i> , 2012 BCCA 378	566

(c) High Earners: Incomes over \$150,000

<i>Francis v Baker</i> , [1993] 3 SCR 250	567
Note: Subsequent Cases on High Earners: <i>Simon v Simon</i> (1999), 1 RFL (5 th) 119 (Ont CA); <i>Tauber v Tauber</i> , (2000), 6 RFL (5 th) 442 (Ont CA); <i>R v R</i> (2002), 24 RFL (5 th) 96 (Ont CA); <i>Desrochers v Tait</i> (2009), 70 RFL (6 th) 178	

(Ont SCJ); *Ewing v Ewing*, 2009 ABCA 227; *McNeil v McNeil*, 2013 NBCA 65 570

(d) Section 7—Special and Extraordinary Expenses

Note: 2006 Amendment, s. 7(1.1)..... 574
McLaughlin v McLaughlin (1998), 44 RFL (4th) 148 (BC CA) 574
 Note: Amendment of section 7 583
Simpson v Trowsdale 2007 PESCTD 3 586

(e) Undue Hardship—Section 10

Schenkeveld v Schenkeveld (2002), 23 RFL (5th) (Man CA)..... 594
Gaetz v Gaetz (2001), 15 RFL (5th) 73 (NS CA)..... 597
 Rollie Thompson, “Case Comment: *Gaetz v. Gaetz*” (2001), 15 RFL (5th) 82 at 82-87 599

(f) Shared Custody—Section 9

Introductory Note..... 602
 Rollie Thompson, “The TLC of Shared Parenting: Time Language and Cash” (2013)
 32 *CFLQ* 315 at 323-326, 328-330, 332..... 602
Froom v Froom (2005), 11 RFL (4th) 254 (Ont CA) 605
L(L) v C(M) 2013 ONSC 1801 606
Contino v Leonelli-Contino, 2005 SCC 63, [2005] 3 SCR 217,..... 613
 Thompson, “The TLC of Shared Parenting,” above, at 332-337, 339 628
 Note: Proposed Changes to the Shared Custody Provisions 634

(g) Contracting Out of the Guidelines

Note..... 635
Gobeil v Gobeil, 2007 MBCA 4 636

(h) Retroactive Child Support

(S)DB v G(SR) (referred to as *DBS*), 2006 SCC 37, [2006] 2 SCR 231 641
 Judith Huddart, “SCC’s decision on annual disclosure threaten’s children’s
 Interests”, *Lawyers Weekly*, September 22, 2006..... 657
 Note: Mandatory Annual Disclosure of Income under the Ontario CSG..... 659
 Anu Osborne and Michael Williams, “Lower income women benefit from support
 recalculation service” *Lawyers Weekly*, June 9, 2007..... 659
 Note: Administrative Calculation and Recalculation of Child Support in Ontario..... 661

D. Entitlement to Child Support: Who is a Parent? Who is a Child?

(a) Children Over the Age of Majority

Nicholas Bala & Colleen Feehan, “Child Support for Adult Children: When Does
 Economic Childhood End?” Queen’s Faculty of Law, Legal Studies Research Paper,
 Working Paper No. 08-01 (April 23, 2008), pp 1-8, 49-50 663
Rebenchuk v Rebenchuk, 2007 MBCA 22..... 667
 Bala & Feehan, “Child Support for Adult Children,” above, at 32-38..... 680
 Cristin Schmitz, “Lawyers debate injecting ‘fault’ back into adult child support”
Lawyers Weekly, June 23, 2006..... 683
Moore-Orlowski v Johnston, 2006 SKQB 279..... 685

(b) In Loco Parentis

Nichola Bala & Meaghan Thomas, “Who is a ‘Parent’? ‘Standing in the Place of a
 Parent’ and Canada’s Child Support Guidelines, s. 5”, Queen’s Faculty of Law, Legal
 Studies Research Paper, No. 07-11 (July 12, 2007), pp 1-15, 23-26 690
Chartier v Chartier, [1999] 1 SCR 242..... 694

Bala & Thomas, “Who is a ‘Parent?’”, above, at 31-39	702
Note: Assessing Support Against a Step-parent Under the Guidelines	704
<i>H(UV) v H(MW)</i> 2008 BCCA 177	705
Note: <i>Pigeau v Pigeau</i> , 2009 CarswellOnt 2102 (Ont SCJ).....	715

VIII. SPOUSAL SUPPORT

A. Some Preliminary Issues of Jurisdiction and Standing	
Note.....	716
B. The Principles of Spousal Support	
Carol Rogerson, “The Canadian Law of Spousal Support” (extract on “The Challenge of Spousal Support”) (2004) 38 <i>FLQ</i> 69 at 71-73	717
John Eekelaar and Mavis Maclean, “The Evolution of Private Law Maintenance Obligations”, ch. 6 in M T Meulders-Klein and J Eekelaar, eds., <i>Family State and Individual Economic Security</i> ” (1988), pp 143-146	718
Law Reform Commission of Canada, <i>Maintenance on Divorce: Working Paper 12</i> (1975), pp 17-20.	719
Carol Rogerson, “Spousal Support After <i>Moge</i> ” (1997) 14 <i>CFLQ</i> 281 at 285-286.....	720
<i>Messier v Delage</i> , [1983] 2 SCR 401	721
C. The Evolution of the Law of Spousal Support in Canada	
Carol Rogerson & Rollie Thompson, “The Canadian Experiment with Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines” (2011) 45 <i>FLQ</i> 241 at 241-248	724
Note: The Supreme Court of Canada “Trilogy”	727
<i>Pelech v Pelech</i> , [1987] 1 SCR 801.....	727
<i>Richardson v Richardson</i> , [1987] 1 SCR 857	734
<i>Moge v Moge</i> , [1992] 3 SCR 813	741
Carol Rogerson, “The Canadian Law of Spousal Support” (2004) 38 <i>FLQ</i> 69 at 83-87	756
<i>Bracklow v Bracklow</i> , [1999] 1 SCR 420.....	758
<i>Leskun v Leskun</i> , 2006 SCC 25, [2006] 1 SCR 920,	769
<i>Chutter v Chutter</i> , 2008 BCCA 507	776
D. The Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines (SSAG)	
Carol Rogerson & Rollie Thompson, “The Canadian Experiment with Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines” (extract on “Developing Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines”) (2011) 45 <i>FLQ</i> 241 at 249-251	780
<i>Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines</i> (Dept. of Justice, Canada, July 2008), Executive Summary, pp vii-xii	782
Rogerson & Thompson, “The Canadian Experiment,” above (extract on “Early Responses and Use of the SSAG”) at 258-260.....	786
E. Some Without Child Support Examples	
<i>Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines</i> (2008) (extracts from ch. 7, “The Without Child Support Formula”), pp 51-53, 66-71	788
<i>Fisher v Fisher</i> , 2008 ONCA 11	792
<i>Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines</i> (2008) (extracts from ch. 12, “Exceptions, Illness and Disability”), pp 71, 116-117, 121-124	808
F. Some With Child Support Examples	
<i>Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines</i> (2008) (extracts from ch. 8 “The With Child Support Formula”), pp 79-86	811

G.	Assessment of the Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines	
	Carol Rogerson and Rollie Thompson, “The Canadian Experiment with Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines” (extract on “An Early Assessment of the Advisory Guidelines”) (2011) 45 <i>FLQ</i> 241 at 261-269	814
H.	Variation of Spousal Support	
	<i>Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines</i> (2008) (extracts from ch. 14 “Variation, Review, Remarriage and Second Families), pp 141-150	819
	IX. DOMESTIC CONTRACTS	
A.	Introduction: Contracting in the Family Context	
	<i>Balfour v Balfour</i> , [1919] 2 KB 571 (CA).....	825
	<i>Harper’s Magazine</i> , “Conditional Love”, February 1996.....	825
	Katherine O’Donovan, <i>Sexual Divisions in Law</i> (1985), pp 187-94.....	826
	Robert Mnookin, “Divorce Bargaining: the Limits on Private Ordering” in J Eekelaar and S Katz, eds, <i>The Resolution of Family Conflict</i> (1984), pp 366-372	829
B.	The Legal Framework for Domestic Contracts	
	Introductory Note.....	833
	(a) property agreements.....	834
	(b) spousal support agreements.....	834
	(c) child support agreements	834
	(d) other agreements about children.....	835
C.	Setting Aside Agreements: Inadequate Disclosure and the General Principles of Contract Law	
	Berend Hovius, <i>Family Law</i> (1992), p 632	836
	(a) Inadequate Disclosure	
	<i>LeVan v LeVan</i> , 2008 ONCA 388	836
	(b) The Law of Contract: Unconscionability	
	Note: Unconscionability	845
	<i>Rosen v Rosen</i> (1994), 3 RFL (4 th) 270 (Ont CA)	846
	Note: <i>Hartshorne v Hartshorne</i> , 2004 SCC 22, [2004] 1 SCR 550	848
	<i>Rick v Brandsema</i> , 2009 SCC 10, [2009] 1 SCR 295.....	851
	Notes and Questions	858
	<i>Toscano v Toscano</i> , 2015 ONSC 487.....	859
	<i>Tadayon v Mahtashami</i> , 2015 ONCA 77	870
D.	Spousal Support Agreements	
	Introductory Note.....	875
	<i>Miglin v Miglin</i> , [2003] 1 SCR 303	876
	Note: <i>Hartshorne v Hartshorne</i> , [2004] 1 SCR 550; <i>Rick v Brandsema</i> , [2009] 1 SCR 295; <i>LMP v L</i> , [2011] 3 SCR 775.....	902
	Carol Rogerson, “Spousal Support Agreements and the Legacy of <i>Miglin</i> ” (2012) 31 <i>CFLQ</i> 13 at 13-23, 52-60.....	903